1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR19-253-RSL 11 Plaintiff, ORDER OF FORFEITURE 12 v. 13 MATTHEW WHITE, 14 Defendant. 15 16 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on the United States' Motion for Entry of 17 an Order of Forfeiture ("Motion") seeking to forfeit, to the United States, the Defendant 18 Matthew White's interest in the following property: 19 20 A judgment for a sum of money in the amount of \$281,970.97, representing the proceeds the Defendant obtained as a result of his commission of Wire Fraud, in 21 violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343. The United States has agreed it will request the Attorney General apply any amounts it collects toward satisfaction of this forfeited 22 sum to the restitution that is ordered. The United States also agreed that any 23 amount the Defendant pays toward restitution will be credited against this forfeited sum. 24 25 The Court, having reviewed the United States' Motion, as well as the other papers and pleadings filed in this matter, hereby FINDS entry of an Order of Forfeiture is 26

appropriate because:

27

28

- The proceeds of Wire Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1343, are forfeitable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §981(a)(1)(C), by way of 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c);
- In his plea agreement, the Defendant agreed to forfeit the above-identified sum pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), as it reflects the proceeds he obtained from his commission of the offense (Dkt. No. 11, $\P 8$); and,
- This sum of money is personal to the Defendant; pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure ("Fed. R. Crim. P.") 32.2(c)(1), no third-party ancillary process is required before forfeiting it.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE COURT ORDERS:

- 1. Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and his plea agreement, the Defendant's interest in the above-identified sum of money is fully and finally forfeited, in its entirety, to the United States;
- 2. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(4)(A) - (B), this Order will become final as to the Defendant at the time he is sentenced; it will be made part of the sentence; and it will be included in the judgment;
- 3. No right, title, or interest in the identified sum of money exists in any party other than the United States;
- 4. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(e), in order to satisfy this sum of money, in whole or in part, the United States may move to amend this Order, at any time, to include substitute property having a value not to exceed this sum of money; and,

///

28

1	5. The Court will retain jurisdiction in this case for the purpose of enforcing
2	this Order, as necessary.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	
6	DATED this 17th day of August, 2021.
7	
8	MMS Casnik
9 10	THE HON. ROBERT S. LASNIK
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Presented by:
17	s/ Michelle Jensen
18	MICHELLE JENSEN
19	Assistant United States Attorney United States Attorney's Office
20	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
21	Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 553-2619
22	Michelle.Jensen@usdoj.gov
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	